

HIKMA Novelty Check Report

Real Knowledge Value (RKV) Framework Analysis

Paper Analyzed

Understanding Healthcare Access Challenges in the Palestinian Context: A Qualitative Analysis

4.4 out of 10

RKV CONTRIBUTION SCORE

X DERIVATIVE

NOVELTY CLASSIFICATION

5

CLAIMS ANALYZED

This paper appears to be **primarily derivative** with concerns about originality. Multiple contribution claims show high overlap with existing work, weak gap identification, or insufficient demonstration of novel contributions. The research may largely recombine or restate known ideas without adequate differentiation. Significant revision is needed to establish clearer novelty, identify genuine research gaps, and demonstrate measurable advances beyond the current state of the art.

Domain:

Healthcare

Analysis Model:

deepseek-chat

RKV Contribution Score Breakdown





4.38

Out of 10.0

Gap Identification	Avg. Score
Contribution Delta	Measured Impact
Idea Provenance	Originality
Sub-Dimensions	4 Metrics

Overall Dimension Scores





C01	This paper identifies a discursive mechanism we term 'procedural absolution'—a pattern where institutions acknowledge the contestability of genocide while simultaneously re-imposing closure through bureaucratic and rhetorical norms.	1	0	1	MEDIUM	Gap implied but weakly linked; no measurable delta; moderate conceptual recombination.
C02	Our contribution is to demonstrate how discourse moves from acknowledging contestability to re-imposing closure, thereby enabling a rationalized erasure of Palestinians from both humanitarian concern and historical record.	1	0	1	MEDIUM	Demonstrates mechanism but no quantitative delta; builds on epistemic injustice.
C03	We argue that procedural absolution, operating through this double bind, not only obstructs meaningful recognition of Palestinian suffering but also perpetuates the structural conditions that normalize their exclusion from	1	0	1	MEDIUM	Argumentative claim; links to health equity; no performance delta.

global health
equity and
human rights
protections.

C04

We introduce the notions of procedural absolution and the double bind to capture the unique discursive traps that characterize discussions of genocide in Palestinian healthcare settings.

1

0

1

MEDIUM

Introduces conceptual tools; adapts existing frameworks.

C05

Our research aims to fill this gap by providing a detailed, empirical analysis of how institutional language simultaneously acknowledges and neutralizes claims of genocide, and how this dual movement constrains the possibilities for meaningful health advocacy.

1

0

1

MEDIUM

Fills gap in health advocacy analysis; no measurable improvement.

C01: This paper identifies a discursive mechanism we term 'procedural absolution'—a p...

- Kuper (1983)
- AlKhaldi et al. (2018)
- Ferguson (1994)

C02: Our contribution is to demonstrate how discourse moves from acknowledging contes...

- AlKhaldi et al. (2018)
- Fricker (2008)

C03: We argue that procedural absolution, operating through this double bind, not onl...

- AlKhaldi et al. (2018)
- Ferguson (1994)


C04: We introduce the notions of procedural absolution and the double bind to capture...


- Fricker (2008)
- AlKhaldi et al. (2018)


C05: Our research aims to fill this gap by providing a detailed, empirical analysis o...


- AlKhaldi et al. (2018)
- Ferguson (1994)
- Fricker (2008)

Novelty Flags

 No measurable delta

 Gap not grounded in literature

 All novelty comes from recombination only



Novelty Dimensions Checked

✓ Gap Identification

✓ Contribution Delta

✓ Idea Provenance

✓ Method Novelty

✓ Question Novelty

✓ Result Theory Novelty

✓ Data Context Novelty

