



HIKMA Fact-Check Report

Comprehensive authenticity analysis and verification

Paper Verified

Corporate Complicity and Digital Accountability in the Infrastructure of Occupation: A Mixed-Methods Analysis of the Tech for Palestine Boycott Dataset (2023 – 2025)

7.2 out of 10

OVERALL FACT SCORE



MANUAL CHECK

RECOMMENDATION

20

CLAIMS VERIFIED



areas may benefit from additional verification or clarification of references. The majority of the content demonstrates solid alignment with established research. Minor improvements to reference documentation or claim substantiation would strengthen the paper further before final submission.

Overall Assessment:


7 out of 10

Reference Authenticity

Verifies that cited references exist and are correctly attributed



Score Distribution



0: References are fabricated or non-existent

10: All references are verified and correctly attributed

Claim-Reference Alignment

Checks if claims accurately reflect the content of cited references



Score Distribution



0: Claims completely misrepresent the cited sources

10: Claims perfectly align with cited source content




0: Numerical data contains major inconsistencies

10: All data is internally consistent and accurate

Scientific Consensus

Evaluates if claims align with established scientific consensus



Score Distribution



0: Claims contradict established scientific consensus

10: Claims fully align with scientific consensus

Hallucination Check

Detects fabricated or unsupported statements



Score Distribution



0: Contains fabricated or unsupported statements

10: All statements are well-supported and verified



AI Scholar Frontier

Advanced Research Verification & Knowledge Discovery Platform



AI SCHOLAR FRONTIER





in or enabling infrastructures of control between 2023 and 2025."

Ref Auth
1 out of 2

Alignment
2 out of 2

Data
2 out of 2

Consensus
1 out of 2

Halluc
1 out of 2

Final Score: 7.0 out of 10 **Notes:** Dataset existence plausible, but source is a GitHub repo; authority contested.

✓ **Supporting:** Tech for Palestine Collective (2025)

Claim 2

UNRELIABLE

"Cloud and AI services represent the largest category in the dataset with 41 companies (20.8%)."

Ref Auth
0 out of 2

Alignment
0 out of 2

Data
2 out of 2

Consensus
0 out of 2

Halluc
1 out of 2

Final Score: 3.0 out of 10

Notes: No source provided for this specific quantitative claim.



0 out of 2

0 out of 2

Data

2 out of 2

Consensus

0 out of 2

Halluc

1 out of 2

Final Score: 3.0 out of 10

Notes: No source provided for this specific quantitative claim.

Claim 4

RELIABLE

"58 companies (29.4%) are directly listed in the OHCHR settlement database (2025 update)."

Ref Auth

1 out of 2

Alignment

2 out of 2

Data

2 out of 2

Consensus

2 out of 2

Halluc

1 out of 2

Final Score: 8.0 out of 10

Notes: Aligns with cited UN source; specific count requires verification.

✓ **Supporting:** Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (2025)



0 out of 2

0 out of 2

Data

2 out of 2

Consensus

0 out of 2

Halluc

1 out of 2

Final Score: 3.0 out of 10

Notes: No source provided for this statistical claim.

Claim 6

UNRELIABLE

"NSO Group, Corsight AI, and Oosto (AnyVision) occupy the highest positions in the top 10 companies by aggregate risk exposure."

Ref Auth

0 out of 2

Alignment

0 out of 2

Data

2 out of 2

Consensus

0 out of 2

Halluc

1 out of 2

Final Score: 3.0 out of 10

Notes: No source provided for this ranking claim.



Data

2 out of 2

Consensus

0 out of 2

Halluc

1 out of 2

Final Score: 3.0 out of 10

Notes: No source provided for this temporal growth claim.

Claim 8

UNRELIABLE

"128 companies (65.0%) maintained silence or issued no comment regarding allegations of complicity."

Ref Auth

0 out of 2

Alignment

0 out of 2

Data

2 out of 2

Consensus

0 out of 2

Halluc

1 out of 2

Final Score: 3.0 out of 10

Notes: No source provided for this corporate response claim.



Ref Auth

0 out of 2

Alignment

0 out of 2

Data

0 out of 2

Consensus

0 out of 2

Halluc

0 out of 2

Final Score: 0.0 out of 10

Notes: Quote and source not provided; cannot verify.

Claim 10

RELIABLE

"The United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights provide a foundational framework for corporate responsibility, establishing the 'protect, respect and remedy' paradigm."

Ref Auth

2 out of 2

Alignment

2 out of 2

Data

2 out of 2

Consensus

2 out of 2

Halluc

2 out of 2

Final Score: 10.0 out of 10

Notes: Accurate description of established UN framework.

✓ **Supporting:** Ruggie (2011)

Claim 11

RELIABLE

"Amnesty International reported in 2023 on facial recognition entrenching the Israeli occupation."



Halluc

2 out of 2

Final Score: 9.0 out of 10

Notes: Claim aligns with cited Amnesty report; URL provided.

✓ **Supporting:** Amnesty International (2023)

Claim 12

RELIABLE

"Human Rights Watch reported in September 2024 on Gaza: Israel's digital targeting tools risking civilian harm."

Ref Auth

1 out of 2

Alignment

2 out of 2

Data

2 out of 2

Consensus

2 out of 2

Halluc

2 out of 2

Final Score: 9.0 out of 10

Notes: Claim aligns with cited HRW report; URL provided.

✓ **Supporting:** Human Rights Watch (2024)

Claim 13

RELIABLE

"The International Court of Justice issued a provisional measures order on 26 January 2024 in the case South Africa v. Israel."

Ref Auth

2 out of 2

Alignment

2 out of 2



Final Score: 10.0 out of 10

Notes: verifiable factual claim about ICJ proceedings.

✓ **Supporting:** International Court of Justice (2024)

Claim 14

RELIABLE

"The research employed a concurrent triangulation mixed-methods design."

Ref Auth
2 out of 2

Alignment
2 out of 2

Data
2 out of 2

Consensus
2 out of 2

Halluc
2 out of 2

Final Score: 10.0 out of 10

Notes: Methodological description aligns with standard reference.

✓ **Supporting:** Creswell & Plano Clark (2018)

Claim 15

RELIABLE

"Thematic analysis followed an inductive approach, allowing themes to emerge from the data."

Ref Auth
2 out of 2

Alignment
2 out of 2

Data
2 out of 2

Consensus
2 out of 2

Halluc
2 out of 2



Claim 16

RELIABLE

"The concept of digital witnessing has emerged as a critical area of study, examining how testimony and evidence circulate through digital platforms during conflicts."

Ref Auth
2 out of 2

Alignment
2 out of 2

Data
2 out of 2

Consensus
2 out of 2

Halluc
2 out of 2

Final Score: 10.0 out of 10

Notes: Accurate summary of cited scholarly concept.

✓ **Supporting:** Pantti (2022)

Claim 17

RELIABLE

"Shoshana Zuboff's work 'The Age of Surveillance Capitalism' examines how technology companies enable human rights violations through dual-use technologies and infrastructure provision."

Ref Auth
2 out of 2

Alignment
2 out of 2

Data
2 out of 2

Consensus
2 out of 2

Halluc
2 out of 2

Final Score: 10.0 out of 10

Notes: Accurate characterization of a well-known scholarly work.



Decolonial theory provides tools for analyzing how power operates through technological infrastructures that extend colonial control into digital domains."

Ref Auth
2 out of 2

Alignment
2 out of 2

Data
2 out of 2

Consensus
2 out of 2

Halluc
2 out of 2

Final Score: 10.0 out of 10

Notes: Accurate summary of cited theoretical framework.

✓ **Supporting:** Couldry & Mejias (2022)

Claim 19

RELIABLE

"Epistemic justice frameworks highlight how power imbalances affect whose knowledge is recognized as credible in discussions about technology and human rights."

Ref Auth
2 out of 2

Alignment
2 out of 2

Data
2 out of 2

Consensus
2 out of 2

Halluc
2 out of 2

Final Score: 10.0 out of 10

Notes: Accurate summary of cited philosophical concept.

✓ **Supporting:** Fricker (2007)



2 out of 2

1 out of 2

Data

2 out of 2

Consensus

2 out of 2

Halluc

2 out of 2

Final Score: 9.0 out of 10 **Notes:** Ethical statement present; reference is for methods, not ethics specifically.

✓ **Supporting:** Flick (2014)



Reference Audit

Hide Details ^

Total References: 24

Issues Found: 4

Valid Metadata: 20

Invalid/Placeholder: 4

Duplicates: 0

⚠ Flagged Wrong References

- ?
- ?
- ?
- ?



Figures & Tables Audit

Items Checked:

8



Ethics Compliance

IRB/Ethics Mentioned:

No ✗

Consent Mentioned:

No ✗

Data Protection:

Yes ✓

⚠ Missing Items:

- No IRB/ethics statement for research on sensitive conflict data.

Fact-Check Metadata

Model: deepseek-chat

Max Claims: 20

Domain: Genocide Studies

Paper ID: review_20251124_120038