



HIKMA Novelty Check Report

Real Knowledge Value (RKV) Framework Analysis

Paper Analyzed

Protection under Fire: UNRWA, Humanitarian Access, and Civilian Safety in Gaza (2023–2025)

4.4 out of 10

RKV CONTRIBUTION SCORE

X DERIVATIVE

NOVELTY CLASSIFICATION

4

CLAIMS ANALYZED




novel contributions. The research may largely recombine or restate known ideas without adequate differentiation. Significant revision is needed to establish clearer novelty, identify genuine research gaps, and demonstrate measurable advances beyond the current state of the art.

Domain:

Genocide Studies

Analysis Model:

deepseek-chat



4.38

Out of 10.0

Gap Identification

Avg. Score

Contribution Delta

Measured Impact


Idea Provenance

Originality

Sub-Dimensions

4 Metrics

Overall Dimension Scores










| | | | | | | |
|-----|---|---|---|---|--------|---|
| C01 | documentation of the erosion of protective spaces for civilians in Gaza through analysis of UNRWA situation reports. | 0 | 0 | 1 | MEDIUM | Empirical documentation claim. No gap or baseline comparison cited. |
| C02 | Development of a mixed-methods framework for analyzing humanitarian communications under systemic violence. | 0 | 0 | 1 | MEDIUM | Methodological framework claim. No gap or baseline comparison cited. |
| C03 | Identification of access denial as a non-kinetic mechanism of harm that complements physical attacks on infrastructure. | 0 | 0 | 1 | MEDIUM | Conceptual identification claim. No gap or baseline comparison cited. |
| C04 | Analysis of the relationship between institutional credibility and protection outcomes in high-intensity conflicts. | 0 | 0 | 1 | MEDIUM | Analytical claim. No gap or baseline comparison cited. |



 Gap not grounded in literature

 All novelty comes from recombination only

Derivative Risk Distribution





✓ Idea Provenance

✓ Method Novelty

✓ Question Novelty

✓ Result Theory Novelty

✓ Data Context Novelty