



HIKMA Novelty Check Report

Real Knowledge Value (RKV) Framework Analysis

Paper Analyzed

Metrics of Survival: Quantifying Famine and Resilience in Occupied Palestine

4.4 out of 10

RKV CONTRIBUTION SCORE

X DERIVATIVE

NOVELTY CLASSIFICATION

4

CLAIMS ANALYZED




novel contributions. The research may largely recombine or restate known ideas without adequate differentiation. Significant revision is needed to establish clearer novelty, identify genuine research gaps, and demonstrate measurable advances beyond the current state of the art.

Domain:

Genocide Studies

Analysis Model:

deepseek-chat



out of 10

4.38

Out of 10.0

Gap Identification

Avg. Score

Contribution Delta

Measured Impact


Idea Provenance

Originality

Sub-Dimensions

4 Metrics

Overall Dimension Scores








C01	integrated framework for reading famine metrics as ethical discourse	0	0	1	MEDIUM	qualitative framework; moderate overlap with ethical quantification theory.
C02	It demonstrates how statistical reliability can paradoxically evidence systemic neglect	0	0	1	MEDIUM	No gap or baseline; conceptual claim; moderate overlap with critical humanitarian studies.
C03	It reveals the dual nature of quantification as both exposing and obscuring injustice	0	0	1	MEDIUM	No gap or baseline; theoretical observation; moderate overlap with existing critiques.
C04	It extends theories of ethical quantification to contexts of active conflict and blockade	1	1	1	MEDIUM	Gap implied (context extension); qualitative extension; partial recombination of existing theory.


Linked Prior Work

C04: It extends theories of ethical quantification to contexts of active conflict and...


- Boltanski (1999)



 Gap not grounded in literature

 All novelty comes from recombination only

Derivative Risk Distribution



Low Risk



Medium Risk



High Risk



✓ Idea Provenance

✓ Method Novelty

✓ Question Novelty

✓ Result Theory Novelty

✓ Data Context Novelty