



HIKMA Novelty Check Report

Real Knowledge Value (RKV) Framework Analysis

Paper Analyzed

**Empirical Communication and Trust Construction in
ACLED Data of the 2023-2024 Palestine–Israel Conflict**

3.8 out of 10

RKV CONTRIBUTION SCORE

X DERIVATIVE

NOVELTY CLASSIFICATION

4

CLAIMS ANALYZED



novel contributions. The research may largely recombine or restate known ideas without adequate differentiation. Significant revision is needed to establish clearer novelty, identify genuine research gaps, and demonstrate measurable advances beyond the current state of the art.

Domain:

Genocide Studies

Analysis Model:

deepseek-chat



3.75

Out of 10.0

Gap Identification

Avg. Score

Contribution Delta

Measured Impact


Idea Provenance

Originality

Sub-Dimensions

4 Metrics

Overall Dimension Scores










C01	of trust construction in conflict data under conditions of information asymmetry	0	0	1	MEDIUM	No baseline comparison or gap citation provided.
C02	Integration of quantitative event data with qualitative narrative analysis to examine credibility mechanisms	0	0	1	MEDIUM	Mixed-methods approach is established practice.
C03	Documentation of community-based data collection as a form of epistemic resistance in conflict zones	0	0	1	MEDIUM	Conceptual application, no measurable delta.
C04	Development of methodological approaches for analyzing moral witnessing in data-mediated conflict reporting	0	0	1	MEDIUM	Method adapts existing frameworks.



 Gap not grounded in literature

 All novelty comes from recombination only

Derivative Risk Distribution



 Low Risk  Medium Risk
 High Risk



✓ Idea Provenance

✓ Method Novelty

✓ Question Novelty

✓ Result Theory Novelty

✓ Data Context Novelty