



## HIKMA Novelty Check Report

Real Knowledge Value (RKV) Framework Analysis

Paper Analyzed

**From Witness to World: How Global Protest Solidarity  
Constructs Credibility in the Israel–Palestine War**

**4.4 out of 10**

RKV CONTRIBUTION SCORE

**X DERIVATIVE**

NOVELTY CLASSIFICATION

**10**

CLAIMS ANALYZED




novel contributions. The research may largely recombine or restate known ideas without adequate differentiation. Significant revision is needed to establish clearer novelty, identify genuine research gaps, and demonstrate measurable advances beyond the current state of the art.

Domain:

**Genocide Studies**

Analysis Model:

**deepseek-chat**



4.38

Out of 10.0

Gap Identification

Avg. Score

Contribution Delta

Measured Impact


Idea Provenance

Originality

Sub-Dimensions

4 Metrics

## Overall Dimension Scores









|     |   |   |   |   |        |  |
|-----|---|---|---|---|--------|--|
| C01 | credibility in the context of the Israel-Palestine war from 2017 to 2024.   | 1 | 0 | 1 | MEDIUM | defined; no measurable delta provided.                                     |
| C02 | The research analyzes 26 protest events from the Global Protest Tracker dataset using a mixed-methods approach that integrates quantitative analysis of temporal distributions, protest sizes, and government responses with qualitative thematic coding of protest frames. | 1 | 0 | 1 | MEDIUM | Applies established methods to a specific context; no performance delta.   |
| C03 | This research contributes to understanding how epistemic trust is constructed in contentious political contexts and how moral authority emerges through the interaction of street action and media dynamics during humanitarian crises.                                     | 1 | 0 | 1 | MEDIUM | Conceptual contribution; recombines existing theories for a specific case. |
| C04 | The study employs methodological  | 0 | 0 | 0 | HIGH   | Standard methodological  |



|     |   |   |   |   |        |   |
|-----|---|---|---|---|--------|---|
| C05 | The study builds on traditions of protest event analysis and frame analysis while addressing gaps in understanding trust formation across street action and media dynamics in the current conflict cycle. | 1 | 0 | 1 | MEDIUM | Applies existing frameworks to a contemporary case.                     |
| C06 | Methodologically, the research contributes through integration of quantitative protest event analysis with qualitative frame analysis in a convergent design.   | 0 | 0 | 0 | HIGH   | Standard mixed-methods design; no novel methodological innovation.      |
| C07 | The research provides a mixed-methods account of how solidarity protests enact credibility in the Israel–Palestine war context.   | 0 | 0 | 1 | MEDIUM | Descriptive account of a specific case; minimal conceptual advancement. |
| C08 | The study documents the prevalence of pro-Palestinian stance across regions and examines relationships between protest size and   | 0 | 0 | 1 | MEDIUM | Empirical findings for a specific dataset; no theoretical delta.        |



|     |   |   |   |   |        |   |
|-----|---|---|---|---|--------|---|
| C09 | epistemic trust construction in contentious politics by analyzing how event characteristics influence trust dynamics. | 1 | 0 | 1 | MEDIUM | Applies existing theory to a new empirical context. |
|-----|---|---|---|---|--------|---|

|     |   |   |   |   |        |   |
|-----|---|---|---|---|--------|---|
| C10 | The research illustrates how moral authority emerges through the interaction of street action and media dynamics during humanitarian crises, offering insights for conflict resolution and peacebuilding efforts. | 0 | 0 | 1 | MEDIUM | Practical implications derived from established theoretical frameworks. |
|-----|---|---|---|---|--------|---|





- wardie & Deraknsnan (2017)
- Fricker (2007)
- Margalit (2002)
- Habermas (1984)
- Davenport (2007)

## **C02: The research analyzes 26 protest events from the Global Protest Tracker dataset**

...

- Tilly (2004)
- Benford & Snow (2000)
- Creswell & Creswell (2018)

## **C03: This research contributes to understanding how epistemic trust is constructed in...**

- Fricker (2007)
- Margalit (2002)
- Habermas (1984)
- Gamson & Wolfsfeld (1993)

## **C04: The study employs methodological triangulation and systematic coding procedures ...**

- Creswell & Creswell (2018)
- Krippendorff (2018)

## **C05: The study builds on traditions of protest event analysis and frame analysis whil...**

- Tilly (2004)
- Benford & Snow (2000)


## **C06: Methodologically, the research contributes through integration of quantitative p...**


- Creswell & Creswell (2018)
- Tilly (2004)
- Benford & Snow (2000)




- Margalit (2002)
- Gamson & Wolfsfeld (1993)


## Novelty Flags

 No measurable delta

 Gap not grounded in literature

 All novelty comes from recombination only

## Derivative Risk Distribution





✓ Idea Provenance

✓ Method Novelty

✓ Question Novelty

✓ Result Theory Novelty

✓ Data Context Novelty