



## HIKMA Novelty Check Report

Real Knowledge Value (RKV) Framework Analysis

Paper Analyzed

**Trust and Moral Witnessing in Al Jazeera's Coverage of the Palestinian Genocide (2023-2024)**

**1.2 out of 10**

RKV CONTRIBUTION SCORE

**X DERIVATIVE**

NOVELTY CLASSIFICATION

**5**

CLAIMS ANALYZED




novel contributions. The research may largely recombine or restate known ideas without adequate differentiation. Significant revision is needed to establish clearer novelty, identify genuine research gaps, and demonstrate measurable advances beyond the current state of the art.

Domain:

**Genocide Studies**

Analysis Model:

**deepseek-chat**



1.25

Out of 10.0

Gap Identification

Avg. Score

Contribution Delta

Measured Impact


Idea Provenance

Originality

Sub-Dimensions

4 Metrics

## Overall Dimension Scores










C01	of how linguistic choices and source selection build trust in war reporting	0	0	0	HIGH	no gap or baseline comparison.
C02	Integration of quantitative and qualitative methods to analyze media framing and moral authority	0	0	0	HIGH	Standard mixed-methods approach, no novelty.
C03	Documentation of how journalists establish epistemic credibility in high-risk environments	0	0	0	HIGH	Descriptive, no measurable delta or gap.
C04	Analysis of relationships between empathy-related language and audience engagement	0	0	0	HIGH	Common analysis in media studies, no baseline.
C05	A framework for evaluating solidarity-based journalism in asymmetric conflicts	0	0	0	HIGH	Framework not novel, based on existing theories.




 No measurable delta

 Gap not grounded in literature

 All novelty comes from recombination only

## Derivative Risk Distribution



 Low Risk  Medium Risk  
 High Risk



✓ Idea Provenance

✓ Method Novelty

✓ Question Novelty

✓ Result Theory Novelty

✓ Data Context Novelty