



## HIKMA Fact-Check Report

Comprehensive authenticity analysis and verification

Paper Verified

**“Every Name Counts Twice”: Digital Memorialization of Civilian Deaths in Occupied Palestine (2008–2023)**

**0.0** out of 10

OVERALL FACT SCORE

**X REJECT**

RECOMMENDATION

**20**

CLAIMS VERIFIED



be problematic, and substantial revisions are recommended before acceptance. There are critical issues with reference authenticity, claim verification, or data integrity. A thorough revision addressing these fundamental concerns is essential before the paper can be considered for publication.

Overall Assessment:

**0 out of 10**


## Reference Authenticity

Verifies that cited references exist and are correctly attributed

**0.0**

out of 10

Score Distribution



**0:** References are fabricated or non-existent

**10:** All references are verified and correctly attributed


## Claim-Reference Alignment

Checks if claims accurately reflect the content of cited references

**0.0**

out of 10

Score Distribution



**0:** Claims completely misrepresent the cited sources

**10:** Claims perfectly align with cited source content



**0:** Numerical data contains major inconsistencies

**10:** All data is internally consistent and accurate


## Scientific Consensus

Evaluates if claims align with established scientific consensus

**0.0**

out of 10

Score Distribution



**0:** Claims contradict established scientific consensus

**10:** Claims fully align with scientific consensus


## Hallucination Check

Detects fabricated or unsupported statements

**0.0**

out of 10

Score Distribution



**0:** Contains fabricated or unsupported statements

**10:** All statements are well-supported and verified



### Critical Flags

- Incomplete evaluation - missing required fields



deaths."

Ref Auth

1 out of 2

Alignment

1 out of 2

Data

0 out of 2

Consensus

0 out of 2

Halluc

1 out of 2

**Final Score:** 3.0 out of 10 **Notes:** Dataset citation is a URL placeholder; numeric claim lacks verifiable source.

✓ **Supporting:** Zusmani (2023)

## Claim 2

UNRELIABLE

"Civilian fatalities constitute 79% of all recorded deaths, with children accounting for 33% of total casualties."

Ref Auth

0 out of 2

Alignment

0 out of 2

Data

0 out of 2

Consensus

0 out of 2

Halluc

0 out of 2

**Final Score:** 0.0 out of 10

**Notes:** No source provided for key demographic percentages.



Data

**0 out of 2**

Consensus

**0 out of 2**

Halluc

**0 out of 2**

**Final Score:** 0.0 out of 10

**Notes:** No source provided for geographical distribution.

## Claim 4

**UNRELIABLE**

*"Airstrikes account for nearly half of all fatalities (49.9%), followed by gunfire (20.3%), building collapse (13.4%), medical blockade (6.4%), and unspecified causes (10.1%)."*

Ref Auth

**0 out of 2**

Alignment

**0 out of 2**

Data

**0 out of 2**

Consensus

**0 out of 2**

Halluc

**0 out of 2**

**Final Score:** 0.0 out of 10

**Notes:** No source provided for cause-of-death percentages.



Ref Auth

0 out of 2

Alignment

0 out of 2

Data

0 out of 2

Consensus

0 out of 2

Halluc

0 out of 2

**Final Score:** 0.0 out of 10

**Notes:** No source provided for detailed age distribution.

## Claim 6

UNRELIABLE

*"NGO-verified records demonstrate the highest concordance rate (98.7%), followed by media reports (93.2%) and eyewitness entries (91.5%)."*

Ref Auth

0 out of 2

Alignment

0 out of 2

Data

0 out of 2

Consensus

0 out of 2

Halluc

0 out of 2

**Final Score:** 0.0 out of 10

**Notes:** No source provided for source reliability metrics.



1 out of 2

0 out of 2

Data

0 out of 2

Consensus

0 out of 2

Halluc

1 out of 2

**Final Score:** 2.0 out of 10 **Notes:** Reference is from 2015, cannot support 2023 data. No source for 2023 figures.

✓ **Supporting:** Schrodtt & Analytics (2015)

## Claim 8

UNRELIABLE

*"Correlation analysis reveals moderate negative correlation between age and civilian status (-0.24), suggesting younger individuals are more likely to be classified as combatants."*

Ref Auth

0 out of 2

Alignment

0 out of 2

Data

0 out of 2

Consensus

0 out of 2

Halluc

0 out of 2

**Final Score:** 0.0 out of 10

**Notes:** No source provided for statistical correlation.



0 out of 2

0 out of 2

Data

0 out of 2

Consensus

0 out of 2

Halluc

0 out of 2

**Final Score:** 0.0 out of 10

**Notes:** No source provided for statistical correlation.

## Claim 10

RELIABLE

*"Studies by Obermeyer et al. (2008) highlight systematic discrepancies between different data sources and the importance of triangulation methods for improving accuracy."*

Ref Auth

2 out of 2

Alignment

2 out of 2

Data

2 out of 2

Consensus

2 out of 2

Halluc

2 out of 2

**Final Score:** 10.0 out of 10

**Notes:** Claim accurately describes the referenced study's focus.

✓ **Supporting:** Obermeyer et al. (2008)

## Claim 11

RELIABLE

*"Allan (2017) examines how digital media platforms enable new forms of ethical engagement with conflict reporting."*





Halluc

**2 out of 2**

**Final Score:** 10.0 out of 10

**Notes:** Claim aligns with the referenced work's theme.

✓ **Supporting:** Allan (2017)

## Claim 12

RELIABLE

*"Zelizer (2021) argues that journalism plays a crucial role in preserving collective memory of violence and loss."*

Ref Auth

**2 out of 2**

Alignment

**2 out of 2**

Data

**2 out of 2**

Consensus

**2 out of 2**

Halluc

**2 out of 2**

**Final Score:** 10.0 out of 10

**Notes:** Claim aligns with the referenced work's theme.

✓ **Supporting:** Zelizer (2021)

## Claim 13

RELIABLE

*"Creswell & Plano Clark (2018) provides frameworks for integrating statistical patterns with narrative understanding."*

Ref Auth

**2 out of 2**

Alignment

**2 out of 2**



Final Score: 10.0 out of 10

Notes: Claim accurately describes the referenced methodology text.

✓ **Supporting:** Creswell & Plano Clark (2018)

## Claim 14

RELIABLE

*"Reporting bias in conflict event data remains a significant concern, as documented by Weidmann (2016) and Shaver et al. (2023)."*

Ref Auth  
**2 out of 2**

Alignment  
**2 out of 2**

Data  
**2 out of 2**

Consensus  
**2 out of 2**

Halluc  
**2 out of 2**

Final Score: 10.0 out of 10

Notes: Claim aligns with the referenced works' themes.

✓ **Supporting:** Weidmann (2016), Shaver et al. (2023)



1 out of 2

1 out of 2

Data

0 out of 2

Consensus

0 out of 2

Halluc

1 out of 2

**Final Score:** 3.0 out of 10 **Notes:** Dataset citation is a URL placeholder; methodology details unverifiable.

✓ **Supporting:** Zusmani (2023)

## Claim 16

RELIABLE

*"Epistemic injustice occurs when marginalized voices are systematically excluded from knowledge production."*

Ref Auth

2 out of 2

Alignment

2 out of 2

Data

2 out of 2

Consensus

2 out of 2

Halluc

2 out of 2

**Final Score:** 10.0 out of 10 **Notes:** Claim accurately defines the referenced theoretical concept.

✓ **Supporting:** Fricker (2007)

## Claim 17

RELIABLE

*"The study employs a concurrent triangulation mixed-methods design."*



Halluc

**2 out of 2**

**Final Score:** 9.0 out of 10 **Notes:** Claim describes a standard methodology; reference is thematically close.

✓ **Supporting:** Creswell & Plano Clark (2018)

## Claim 18

RELIABLE

*"The qualitative component employs narrative inquiry as its primary methodological orientation."*

Ref Auth

**2 out of 2**

Alignment

**1 out of 2**

Data

**2 out of 2**

Consensus

**2 out of 2**

Halluc

**2 out of 2**

**Final Score:** 9.0 out of 10 **Notes:** Claim describes a standard methodology; reference is thematically close.

✓ **Supporting:** Flick (2014)

## Claim 19

RELIABLE

*"The application of mixed-methods approaches in conflict research has demonstrated their value in capturing both the scale and human dimensions of violence."*

Ref Auth

**2 out of 2**

Alignment

**2 out of 2**



Final Score: 10.0 out of 10

Notes: Claim aligns with the referenced work's argument.

✓ **Supporting:** Thaler (2017)

## Claim 20

UNRELIABLE

*"Temporal analysis reveals significant peaks in fatalities during major conflict periods: Operation Cast Lead (2008–2009), Operation Protective Edge (2014), Guardian of the Walls (2021), and Al-Aqsa Flood (2023)."*

Ref Auth

**1 out of 2**

Alignment

**0 out of 2**

Data

**0 out of 2**

Consensus

**0 out of 2**

Halluc

**1 out of 2**

Final Score: 2.0 out of 10

Notes: Reference from 2015 cannot support post-2015 events. No source for 2021/2023 data.

✓ **Supporting:** Schrodtt & Analytics (2015)



Invalid/Placeholder:

2

Duplicates:

0

### Flagged Wrong References

- Zusmani (2023) - URL placeholder format
- Schrod & Analytics (2015) - Unclear source/author format



## Data Audit

Numeric Items Checked:

15

### Mismatches:

- All key dataset statistics (N=36,512, percentages 79%, 33%, 78%, 49.9%, etc.) lack cited sources.
- Reference Schrod & Analytics (2015) cited for 2023 data - temporal mismatch.



## Figures & Tables Audit

Items Checked:

0



## Ethics Compliance

IRB/Ethics Mentioned:

No X

Consent Mentioned:

No X

Data Protection:

No X

## Fact-Check Metadata

Model: deepseek-chat

Max Claims: 20



# AI Scholar Frontier

Advanced Research Verification & Knowledge Discovery Platform



AI SCHOLAR FRONTIER



© 2025 AI Scholar Frontier. All rights reserved.