

“Numbers Don’t Speak, People Do”: Trustworthiness in Humanitarian Casualty Reporting during the Gaza War (2024– 2025)

Kofi Mensah, Amara Adeyemi
Zambesi Institute; Zu-Vendis

Agenda

- Introduction & Motivation
- Research Context
- Methodology
- Experimental Setup
- Results & Analysis
- Discussion
- Conclusions
- Future Work

Research Background

- This study examines trustworthiness in humanitarian casualty reporting during the 2024--2025 Gaza...
- Quantitative analysis of the publicly available "Genocide of the Palestinian People" dataset (N...
- **8\ sec:** intro Humanitarian casualty reporting in conflict zones faces fundamental challenges of ve...
- The Gaza conflict of 2024--2025 presents a critical case where these challenges are amplified by ...
- This study examines how trustworthiness is established in casualty reporting when traditional ver...

Motivation & Objectives

- The Palestinian context introduces complexities rooted in historical tensions, social trauma, and...
- Reporting civilian casualties involves navigating multiple institutional frameworks while respect...
- The collapse of Gaza's communication infrastructure during the conflict period necessitated a shi...
- This research addresses three core questions derived from field observations and theoretical fram...
- Second, which communicative practices foster or erode trust in reported numbers

Methodology

- Content not available

Results & Analysis - Part 1

- **sec:** results This section presents findings from both quantitative analysis of the casualty dataset...
- The quantitative analysis follows established approaches for demographic analysis in conflict set...

Results & Analysis - Part 2

- Quantitative Analysis of Casualty Dataset The quantitative analysis examines the ``Genocide of th...
- All records include complete age and sex information, though the dataset lacks event date documen...

Results & Analysis - Part 3

- Data validation procedures identified and cleaned outliers, particularly age values exceeding 110...
- **table[h] Summary Statistics for the Casualty Dataset (N=20,390)**
tab: dataset_summary tabular{lcc} ...

Results & Analysis - Part 4

- **0 \ Sex:** Male & 12,265 & 60
- **1 \ Sex:** Female & 8,125 & 39
- **9 \ Age Group:** 0--18 (Children) & 6,706 & 32
- **8 \ Age Group:** 19--30 & 5,769 & 28

Key Contributions

- **sec:** discussion This study examined trustworthiness in humanitarian casualty reporting during the ...
- The findings address three research questions concerning credibility perceptions, communicative p...

Implications & Impact

- The integration of quantitative demographic patterns with qualitative field testimonies reveals t...
- These insights are situated within scholarship on humanitarian practice and epistemic justice whi...
- The quantitative analysis of 20,390 individual records shows that 32

Conclusions & Future Work

- 8\ The collapse of communication infrastructure necessitated a shift from centralized registries ...
- This transition reframed the central question from ``how many" to ``whom to believe," as report...
- The distributed verification practices created conditions where credibility became contingent on ...

Additional Content 13

- Detailed analysis
- Supplementary information
- Extended discussion
- Additional results

Additional Content 14

- Detailed analysis
- Supplementary information
- Extended discussion
- Additional results

Additional Content 15

- Detailed analysis
- Supplementary information
- Extended discussion
- Additional results

Additional Content 16

- Detailed analysis
- Supplementary information
- Extended discussion
- Additional results

Additional Content 17

- Detailed analysis
- Supplementary information
- Extended discussion
- Additional results

Additional Content 18

- Detailed analysis
- Supplementary information
- Extended discussion
- Additional results

Additional Content 19

- Detailed analysis
- Supplementary information
- Extended discussion
- Additional results

Additional Content 20

- Detailed analysis
- Supplementary information
- Extended discussion
- Additional results

Additional Content 21

- Detailed analysis
- Supplementary information
- Extended discussion
- Additional results

Additional Content 22

- Detailed analysis
- Supplementary information
- Extended discussion
- Additional results

Additional Content 23

- Detailed analysis
- Supplementary information
- Extended discussion
- Additional results

Additional Content 24

- Detailed analysis
- Supplementary information
- Extended discussion
- Additional results

Additional Content 25

- Detailed analysis
- Supplementary information
- Extended discussion
- Additional results

Additional Content 26

- Detailed analysis
- Supplementary information
- Extended discussion
- Additional results

Additional Content 27

- Detailed analysis
- Supplementary information
- Extended discussion
- Additional results

Additional Content 28

- Detailed analysis
- Supplementary information
- Extended discussion
- Additional results

Additional Content 29

- Detailed analysis
- Supplementary information
- Extended discussion
- Additional results

Additional Content 30

- Detailed analysis
- Supplementary information
- Extended discussion
- Additional results

Additional Content 31

- Detailed analysis
- Supplementary information
- Extended discussion
- Additional results

Additional Content 32

- Detailed analysis
- Supplementary information
- Extended discussion
- Additional results

Additional Content 33

- Detailed analysis
- Supplementary information
- Extended discussion
- Additional results

References & Acknowledgments

- Key references from the paper
- Related work citations
- Acknowledgments
- Funding sources if applicable

Thank You & Questions

- Questions & Discussion
- Thank you for your attention!
- Contact information available